

Applicant: Eduardo Koerich Nery, MSc.

Institution: Federal University of ABC, São Bernardo do Campo - SP, Brazil

Impact of climatic niche on plant evolution: Systematic and phylogenetic comparative studies in *Miconia* sect. *Discolor* (Miconieae, Melastomataceae)

Introduction

Climate change can modify species' distribution and abundance in a few years, leading some of them to extinction¹. Such influence on species survival makes climate a major component of ecological niche, the set of conditions that allow a positive growth rate of a given species². Regarding plants, niche's climatic dimensions (i.e. climatic niche) have great influence on survival, producing global patterns of correlation among different plant traits and climatic variables³. Indeed, climatic niche shifts can be followed by the evolution of morph-physiological novelties in plant lineages^{4,5}, indicating that climatic niche shifts can impose novel selective regimes to plant species. Beyond theoretical implications, understanding plant evolution under climatic niche shifts can optimize future conservation efforts by indicating lineages with greater chances of survival to climate change.

Miconia Ruiz & Pav. is a mega diverse genus (spp. > 2000), comprising tree and shrubs that are endemic to the Neotropics⁶. *Miconia* species are conspicuous elements of different vegetations, where they are pollinated by different insects⁷ and provide food resource for mammals and birds^{8,9}. Traditionally, *Miconia* taxonomy was based on floral morphology¹⁰, but molecular-based phylogenetics has indicated that floral characters are not reliable to establish an infrageneric classification within *Miconia*¹¹. Indeed, geographical distribution holds greater phylogenetic signal within *Miconia*, since species diversity apparently results from invasions followed by radiations at different geographic regions (e.g. colonization of Caribbean islands)¹².

In the Neotropical lowlands, *Miconia* species with discolor leaves and scorpioid or glomerulate inflorescence belong to *Miconia* sect. *Discolor* Caddah & R. Goldenb¹³. Species within this section occupy environmentally contrasting vegetations: the montane Atlantic rainforest, the seasonally dry Caatinga forest, the rocky outcrops of Cerrado savannas, and the Amazonian rainforest¹³. Given the relatively recent climate change and climatic heterogeneity in Neotropical lowlands¹⁴, *Miconia* sect. *Discolor* is a model to understand if climatic niche shifts can impose novel selective regimes to plant species. However, phylogenetic relationships of Amazonian species within *Miconia* sect. *Discolor* are still unclear due to poor sampling¹³. These species mostly display lepidote hairs on leaves and scorpioid inflorescences, composing the informal Chrysophylla group¹³. As these phylogenetic relationships remain unclear, inference on natural selection within *Miconia* sect. *Discolor* are hampered.

The first objective of this project is inferring phylogenetic relationships and revising species diversity within the Chrysophylla group of *Miconia* sect. *Discolor*. The second objective is inferring natural selection over lineages within *Miconia* sect. *Discolor* that occupy different climatic niches.

Material and Methods

For the phylogenetic and revisionary studies of the Chrysophylla group, I will sample specimens that display the putative diagnostic features of the group (lepidote hairs on leaves and scorpioid inflorescences). Sampling will include herbaria and fieldwork. I will visit the following herbaria: BHC, BM, BR, CEPEC, FLOR, G, GH, HB, HUEFS, IAN, INPA, K, M, MBM, MBML, MG, NY, P, RB, SPF, UEC, UPCB,

US, and W¹⁵. Fieldwork will focus on national and local reserves within the Amazonia rainforest. In field, I will sample leaf tissue from specimens in silica-gel for DNA extraction¹⁶.

In order to infer phylogenetic hypotheses, I will amplify five molecular markers that have shown adequate polymorphism within *Miconia* sect. *Discolor*: the nuclear ETS and ITS, and the plastidial accD-psaL, atpF-atpH, and psbK-psbL¹³. I will choose best-fit substitution models for each marker with jModelTest¹⁷, and I will infer phylogenetic hypothesis using both Bayesian inference and maximum likelihood criteria¹⁸.

In order to conduct a taxonomic revision, I will describe specimens' morphology based on standardized terminology¹⁹. Specimens will be identified by comparison to species protologues available at the literature^{20,21} and to type specimens available at herbaria. If specimens do not fit any described species, I will consider describing new species.

For the evolutionary study on selection in different climatic niches, I will collect geographic occurrences and trait measurements for each species within *Miconia* sect. *Discolor* (ca. 60 spp). Geographic occurrences will be extracted from SpeciesLink and PBI-Miconiae platforms. Traits will be measured on vouchers from the above-cited herbaria, with at least 20 specimens per species. Based on standardized protocols²², I will measure five plant traits that have shown correlation with climatic variables: plant height, leaf area, leaf specific area, stomata density, and seed size³.

In order to infer climatic niches for each species, I will apply MaxEnt²³ modeling to climatic measures retrieved from the superimposition of geographic occurrences and WorldClim²⁴ climatic rasters. To assess if species occupy different niches or not, I will apply a grid-based equivalency test to MaxEnt models²⁵. Species displaying non-significant differences in the equivalency test will be classified into a same climatic niche category.

In order to infer selection over lineages occupying different climatic niches, I will apply phylogenetic comparative methods to the five traits measured on specimens. Comparative methods will use models of phenotypic evolution over a random sample of phylogenetic trees retrieved from previous Bayesian inference. Brownian motion (BM) models will represent neutral evolution²⁶, while Ornstein–Uhlenbeck process (OU) models will represent evolution under selection²⁷. Based Akaike criterion (AIC), I will choose the best-fit model. If OU models are the best fit, selection may have taken place over plant traits, so I will compare estimates of phenotypic optima (θ) and selection intensity (α) along lineages. If climatic niches have driven selection in *Miconia* sect. *Discolor*, estimates of θ and α will significantly differ among lineages occupying different climatic niche categories.

Predicted outputs: a systematic study to be published at *Botanical Journal of the Linnean Society*; an evolutionary study to be published at *Proceedings of the Royal Society B: Biological Sciences*; updates of *Miconia* database at Flora do Brazil 2020 (<http://reflora.jbrj.gov.br/reflora>); presentation of results at the Latin American Botanical Congress; scientific divulgation at UFABC outreach project “UFABC para todos”

Required budget: \$820, of which: \$160 - flying ticket from São Paulo to Manaus; \$110 fieldwork at Ducke's National Forest Reserve (5 days); \$500 - flying ticket from Manaus to Belém; \$35 - visit to Emílio Goeldi Museum's herbarium (3 days); \$15 - DNA/specimen preservation and shipment.

References

1. Thomas, C. D. *et al.* Extinction risk from climate change. *Nature* **427**, 145–148 (2004).
2. Soberon, J. & Nakamura, M. Niches and distributional areas: Concepts, methods, and assumptions. *Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci.* **106**, 19644–19650 (2009).
3. Moles, A. T. Being John Harper: Using evolutionary ideas to improve understanding of global patterns in plant traits. *J. Ecol.* **106**, 1–18 (2018).
4. Alexander, J. M. Evolution under changing climates: Climatic niche stasis despite rapid evolution in a non-native plant. *Proc. R. Soc. B Biol. Sci.* **280**, 9 (2013).
5. Colautti, R. I. & Barrett, S. C. H. Rapid adaptation to climate facilitates range expansion of an invasive plant. *Science (80-.)*. **342**, 364–366 (2013).
6. Frodin, D. G. History and concepts of big plant genera. *Taxon* **53**, 753–776 (2004).
7. Kriebel, R. & Zumbado, M. A. New reports of generalist insect visitation to flowers of species of *Miconia* (Miconieae: Melastomataceae) and their evolutionary implications. *Brittonia* **66**, 396–404 (2014).
8. Magnusson, W. E. & Sanaiotti, T. Dispersal of *Miconia* seeds by the rat *Bolomys lasiurus*. *J. Trop. Ecol.* **3**, 277–278 (1987).
9. Allenspach, N., Telles, M. & Dias, M. Phenology and frugivory by birds on *Miconia ligustroides* (Melastomataceae) in a fragment of cerrado, southeastern Brazil. *Brazilian J. Biol.* **72**, 859–864 (2012).
10. Cogniaux, A. Melastomataceae. in *Flora Brasiliensis* (eds. Martius, C. F. P., Eichler, A. G. & Urban, I.) **14**, 1–510 (Lipsiae Frid. Fleischer, 1884).
11. Goldenberg, R., Penneys, D. S., Almeda, F., Judd, W. S. & Michelangeli, F. A. Phylogeny of *Miconia* (Melastomataceae): Patterns of stamen diversification in a megadiverse Neotropical genus. *Int. J. Plant Sci.* **169**, 963–979 (2008).
12. Michelangeli, F. A. *et al.* Multiple events of dispersal and radiation of the tribe Miconieae (Melastomataceae) in the Caribbean. *Bot. Rev.* **74**, 53–77 (2008).
13. Caddah, M. K. Estudos taxonômicos e filogenéticos em *Miconia* sect. *Discolor* (Melastomataceae, Miconieae). (Universidade Estadual de Campinas, 2013).
14. Sobral-Souza, T., Lima-Ribeiro, M. S. & Solferini, V. N. Biogeography of Neotropical rainforests: Past connections between Amazon and Atlantic Forest detected by ecological niche modeling. *Evol. Ecol.* **29**, 643–655 (2015).
15. Thiers, B. Index Herbariorum: A global directory of public herbaria and associated staff. *New York Botanical Garden's Virtual Herbarium*. (2019). Available at: <http://sweetgum.nybg.org/science/ih/>.
16. Doyle, J. J. & Doyle, J. L. A rapid DNA isolation procedure for small quantities of fresh leaf tissue. *Phytochem. Bull.* **19**, 11–15 (1987).
17. Posada, D. jModelTest: Phylogenetic model averaging. *Mol. Biol. Evol.* **25**, 1253–1256 (2008).
18. Yang, Z. & Rannala, B. Molecular phylogenetics: Principles and practice. *Nat. Genet.* **13**, 303–314 (2012).
19. Radford, A. E. & Caddell, G. M. Plant description. in *Fundamentals of plant systematics* (eds. Radford, A. E. & Caddell, G. M.) 107–147 (Harper & Row, 1986).
20. Cogniaux, A. Melastomataceae. in *Prodromus systematis naturalis regni vegetabilis* (ed. De Candolle, A. P.) **7**, 1–1256 (Sumptibus Sociorum Treuttel et Würtz, 1891).
21. Humboldt, A. von. *Monographia Melastomacearum*. **1**, (Libraire grecque-latin-allemand, 1809).
22. Cornelissen, J. H. C. A. *et al.* Handbook of protocols for standardised and easy measurement of functional trait worldwide. *Aust. J. Bot.* **51**, 335–380 (2003).
23. Phillips, S. J., Anderson, R. P. & Schapire, R. E. Maximum entropy modeling of species geographic distributions. *Ecol. Modell.* 231–259 (2006).
24. Fick, S. E. & Hijmans, R. J. Worldclim 2: New 1-km spatial resolution climate surfaces for global land areas. *Int. J. Climatol.* **37**, 4302–4315 (2017).
25. Broennimann, O. *et al.* Measuring ecological niche overlap from occurrence and spatial environmental data. *Glob. Ecol. Biogeogr.* **21**, 481–497 (2012).
26. Felsenstein, J. Phylogenies and quantitative characters. *Annu. Rev. Ecol. Syst.* **19**, 445–471 (1988).
27. Beaulieu, J. M., Jhwueng, D. C., Boettiger, C. & O'Meara, B. C. Modeling stabilizing selection: Expanding the Ornstein-Uhlenbeck model of adaptive evolution. *Evolution (N. Y.)*. **66**, 2369–2383 (2012).